

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are now pending in the application. Claims 1 and 2 are currently amended. Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to applicant's representative during a telephone interview on November 5, 2009. During that interview, the Examiner indicated that the claims as amended herein would overcome the current rejections, but that these amendments would also necessitate further consideration. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0011474; "Ng") in view of Kem (U.S. Pat. No. 5,058,141; "Kem") and Muirhead (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2002/0030597; "Muirhead"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicant's invention relates generally to a communication method for a noncontact RF ID system. Applicant's claimed invention employs a unique configuration that makes it easy to generate a clock signal in synch with the data by detecting the rising transition. Of note, applicant's claimed invention uses a particular third waveform to replace consecutive instances of the second waveform in combination with other aspects of the claim. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to clarify this aspect of the invention. None of the reference relied upon by the Examiner teach or suggest replacing consecutive instances of a second waveform with a third waveform in

the manner recited in the pending claims. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Nov. 6, 2009

By: /Timothy D. MacIntyre/_____
Timothy D. MacIntyre
Reg. No. 42,824

HARNES, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

TDM/dec